

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/025,910	01/03/2005	Guy Lapergue	264165US41	6113
22850 7	850 7590 10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CASAREGOLA, LOUIS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	11/025,910	LAPERGUE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louis J. Casaregola	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.·213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. _,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Objections To Claims

Claims 1-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a) for the following reason:

In claim 1 and related dependent claims 2-8, "a tube connecting flap to a source of cooling air" (claim 1, line 2) contains a grammatical error in the form of a missing article, "a" or "the", preceding the term "flap".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Maier.

The claimed nozzle cooling system reads on prior art nozzle apparatus of the type disclosed by Maier. See Maier's Figure 2 and note crossover tubes 30 for supplying cooling air to flaps 26 of jet engine nozzle 10. See also the tube details in Figures 3-10 and note that the tubes comprise telescoping sections 36 and 38 with ball joints 32 and 65 attached to the respective section ends.

With regard to claim 10, the statement that the telescopic portion "acts as a pump" merely describes a desired result. If applicants' broadly claimed telescoping structure is presumed capable of producing such a result, then the equivalent prior art structure can be presumed equally capable.

Art Unit: 3746

With respect to claim 6, it is additionally pointed out that Maier's cooling air is engines fan air (col. 1, lines 28-34), and fan air constitutes a secondary air flow as required by the claim.

Additional References

Nash et al and Hauer et al are cited as disclosing further examples of nozzle flap cooling systems.

L. J. Casaregola

571-272-4826 (M-F; 7:30-4:00)

571-273-8300 FAX September 25, 2006

If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor, Timothy Thorpe, can be reached at 571-272-4444.

Information regarding the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, and status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).